

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY COLD REGIONS RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS HANOVER, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03755-1290

July 31, 1985

Applied Research Branch

Charles E. Smith Program Research Manager Technology Assessment and Research Branch Minerals Management Service Mail Stop 647 12203 Sunrise Valley Drive Reston, VA 22091

Dear Charles:

The latest (and last) progress report from Dr. Jellinek is enclosed. He is now writing the final report.

Sincerely,

L. David Minsk

Research Physical Scientist

ei 2 Umile

Applied Research Branch

PROGRESS REPORT IX (March - June 1985)

De-icing and Prevention of Ice Formation of/on

Offshore Oil-Drilling Platforms

Grantor: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, CRREL

Hanover, New Hampshire 03755

Grantee: Clarkson University

Potsdam, New York 13676

Grant No.: DACA 89-33-0003

Principal Investigator: Dr. H.H.G. Jellinek

Research Professor

Department of Chemistry

Senior Research Associate: Dr. H. Kachi

Department of Chemistry

Scientific Program Officer:

L. David Minsk U.S. Army CRREL Hanover, New Hampshire 03755

DATE SUBMITTED 7/85

Progress Report IX

(March - June 1985)

De-icing and Prevention of Ice Formation of/on

Offshore Oil-Drilling Platforms

bу

H.H.G. Jellinek and H. Kachi

Department of Chemistry

Clarkson University

Potsdam, NY 13676

Work on the most promising de-icing substances has been continued during this last period of the project.

(A) Dow Corning 3145 RTV Clear Adhesive Sealant.

This material proved very promising for de-icing.

(a) Adhesive Shear Strength.

The adhesive strength of the coats on an Al-substrate to ice was measured as a function of time. RTV-silicone and RTV-silicone mixed with Thomas silicone oil were tested. The results are listed in tables la and b, including some data presented in the previous report.

<u>Table la</u>

<u>Samples of RTV and RTV Plus Thomas Oil Coats</u>

<u>Coated on Al-Substrate</u>

Sample No.	RTV silicone	paste/Thomas sil	icone oil, by wt	• Coater	"clearance", mm
(a) 27.5% by	vol. RTV silicon	e paste solution	in toluene		
1		10/0			0.8
2		10/0			0.6
3		10/0		•	0.6
4		10/0			0.6
5		10/0			0.4
6		10/1			0.4
7		10/2			0.4
8		10/4			0.4
9		10/6			0.4
10		10/8			0.4
11		10/10			0.4
(b) RTV silic	one waste (100% s	olid)			
12		10/0			0.4
13		10/0			0.6
14		10/0			0.8
15		10/0			1.5

Table 1b

Ice Shear Adhesive Strength of Samples Listed
in Table 1a (-10°C)

71	Shear Adhesive Strength, kg/cm ²														
Elapsed time after coating; days	Sample														
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1.0	11	12	13	14	15
1		1.53			1.03			0.57	0.47	0.28	0.30	0.26 (Gx3)	:		0.88
2			1.89									1.79	0.32	0.26	
3		1.11	1.07	1.08				0.24	0.28	0.11	0.19				
10	1.00					0.86	0.67 (Gx3)								
11	0.60					0.57	0.99								
12	0.56					0.79	0.88					2.01	1.14	1.06	0.51
13					0.61 (Gx16)							2.08	1.07	0.60	
14	0.60	0.40	0.40	0.51	(GXIO)	0.64	0.66					1.35	0.77	1.02 (Gx16)	
15					•							1.16	(Gx3) 1.33	(GXIO)	
16												1.19	1.36	1.15	
24				٠			0.76 (Gx16)								
25							2.87								
82	3.73					3.52	4.08						÷	,	
85					8.60			2.14	0.97 (Gx16)	0.97	0.64				
86									1.32		0.34				
87									0.90		0.28	3.11	2.74	2.15	•
97															
98									0.29	0.11	0.12				

Note: e.g., "Gx16" means "applied water jet for 16 hrs.".

Some remarks are made below concerning the results in Table 1b:

- (1) Table 1b shows that coats without silicone oil (samples Nos. 1 to 5 and 12 to 15) have smaller adhesive strengths with elapsed time than those with silicone oil. The decrease reaches a minimum value within two to three weeks. Subsequently, the values increase to a high value which is beyong that specified by the sponsor (i.e. 1.76 kg/cm^2).
 - (2) A dependence of the adhesive strength on coat thickness was not found.
- (3) Coats prepared from solution exhibited a number of tiny irregularities on their surface; their shear adhesive strength was somewhat larger than that of coats made from paste. Thus it was thought desirable to carry out tests using a mixed solvent system, so that smooth vaporization could be achieved once the solution was sprayed on the Al-substrate.
- (4) Coats containing at least 60% by wt. of silicone oil (Nos. 6 to 11) had low adhesive strengths for at least 98 days; it is expected this would last for a considerably longer time.
- (5) The water erosion affected the adhesive strength of the coats; but the values remained within the limits of the specified value for coats containing 60% by wt. of silicone oil.

II. <u>Tensile Strength of RTV Silicone Coats</u>.

Films (coats) prepared from paste were put on various substrates from which they could be easily removed as films. The tensile strength of these films was measured after curing at room temperature for 1, 2, 3 or 5 days. Films of different thickness were obtained by using coaters of different gap-widths. Table 2 gives remarks.

Table 2
Tensile Strength of RTV Films
Removed From Their Substrates
(Prepared from paste; room temperature)

		.*								
Elapsed time	Tensile modulus, kg/cm ²									
after coating, days										
	263 <u>+</u> 8 μm	398 <u>+</u> 8 μm	578 <u>+</u> 11 μm	Av. kg/cm ²						
1	6.81	7.00	7.38	7.06						
2	8.53	7.42	8.84	8.26						
3	5.47	7.92	7.99	7.13						
6	5.17	6.14	8.12	6.48						
7	6.35	6.70	9.66	7.57						
Ave. (kg/cm ²)	6.47 <u>+</u> 1.3	7.04 <u>+</u> 0.7	8.40 <u>+</u> 0.9	7.30 ± 0.7						
	229 <u>+</u> 6 μm	382 <u>+</u> 6 μm	529 <u>+</u> 6 μm	Av. kg/cm ²						
1	7.97	6.70	8.78	7.82						
2	6.79	8.95	8.84	8.19						
3	7.22	7.83	7.82	7.62						
6	5.45	6.89	9.22	7.19						
7	7.80	8.40	9.75	8.65						
Ave. (kg/cm ²)	7.05 <u>+</u> 1.0.	7.75 + 1.0	8.88 + 0.7	7.89 + 0.5						

Note: Film A was prepared by coating RTV silicone paste on a glass plate and Film B on polypropylene film, respectively. The former coat could be removed as film by using a razor blade and the latter was easily removed by peeling; the latter film was less damaged.

The average strengths in table 2 increase systematically with film thickness, while the strengths as a function of time do not vary in an orderly way. This indicates that the main effect is due to film thickness while the elapsed time plays a minor role. Sample No. 12 in table la and b (295 µm thick) was measured after 98 days had elapsed; its tensible strength was 8.8 kg/cm². This value is larger that the average value of 7.1 ± 1.0 kg/cm² (table 2, film b; av. thickness 229 µm). The value is also larger than the av. value for film a, (6.87 ± 1.3 kg/cm²; 263 µm). The elapsed times giving these average values were much shorter than 98 days (i.e. 3.5 days) for sample lb. A long elapsed time increases the tensible strength. This is due to continued crosslinking taking place in the film (coat). That RTV is still crosslinking after such long time was shown by us using I.R. spectra. Optical densities of O-H bonds (2.9 µm) changing with time were taken as indicators for this crosslinking process.

Final Conclusions

Four coatings suitable for de-icing of oil-drilling platforms have been found whose shear adhesive strength (-10°C) lies well within the specified adhesive strength (1.76 kg/cm^2) after "erosion" by a water jet.

(1) Poly(dimethyl siloxane)-bis phenol-A-polycarbonate block co-polymer LR5630 (G.E. Co.) with silicone oil SF-1154 (G.E. Co.).

The composition of the "spraying" solution is as follows:

Co-polymer LR5630 10 g.; SF-1154 5 g.; toluene 40 ml. This solution is prepared by dissolving LR5630 in toluene under stirring and then adding SF-1154 silicone oil. The thickness of the coat was ca. 0.4 mm i.e. 25 $\rm ft^2/gal$. would give such a thickness.

Shear adhesive strength (-10°C) before erosion $<0.07 \text{ kg/cm}^2$. Shear adhesive strength (-10°C) after erosion (16 h) $<0.61 \text{ kg/cm}^2$. (2) Crosslinked PE (polyethylene form), Nalgene 6281 series, thickness 3 mm.

The top surface is coated with "silicone masonry sealer". A "pressure sensitive adhesive" is applied to the bottom surface of the foam, this bottom surface is adhered to the substrate.

Shear adhesive strength before erosion (-10°) 0.24 kg/cm².

Shear adhesive strength after 16 h erosion (-10°C) 0.20 kg/cm².

Shear adhesive strength additional 16 h 0.28 kg/cm².

The top surface can also be covered with "masonry sealer" for dust-protection (True Value).

(3) Dow Corning 3145 RTV clear adhesive sealant with Thomas silicone oil. Thickness of coat ca. 0.1 mm.

Solution: 3145 RTV 27.5% by vol. is dissolved in toluene under stirring (room temperature), subsequently silicone oil is added.

Ratio by wt. 3145 RTV/Thomas oil 10/6.

Shear adhesive strength before erosion (10°C) 0.97 kg/cm².

Shear adesive strength after 16 h erosion (-10°C) 1.32 kg/cm².

Shear adesive strength one day after erosion (-10°C) 0.90 kg/cm².

Thickness of coat ca. 0.1 mm, i.e. 180 ft²/gal.

(4) Dow Corning varnish #997.

Curing conditions 200°C, 4.5 h.

Shear adhesive strength before erosion (-10°C) 1.45 kg/cm².

Shear adhesive strength 16 h erosion (-10°C) 1.43 kg/cm².

Thickness of coat ca. 0.2 mm, i.e. 100 ft²/gal.

De-icer (1) is the most efficient one while de-icer (2) is easiest in application.